

**Student Affairs** 

Office for Student Conflict Resolution 300 Turner Student Services Building, MC-306 610 E. John St. Champaign, IL 61820

# STUDENT DISCIPLINE SYSTEM ANNUAL STATISTICS

# July 1, 2021 – June 30, 2022

**UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS URBANA-CHAMPAIGN** 

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

bbreviations and Definitions	3
All Cases	4
Case Coordinator Decisions	5
ubcommittees on Student Conduct	6
exual Misconduct Cases	7
OVID-Related Cases	9
egistered Student Organization Cases10	0
Il Student Violations1	1
ducational Sanctions1	3
Pehavioral Restrictions14	4
lespondent Demographic Information1	5
Petitions for Readmission	7
CIMED, Law, and Vet Med Cases	8

### **ABBREVIATIONS AND DEFINITIONS**

- **Case Coordinator (CC)**: a staff member empowered to adjudicate student disciplinary cases that would not result in suspension or dismissal
- **Complainant**: a person who claims to have been or is reported to have been a victim of sexual misconduct
- **Director**: the Director of the Office for Student Conflict Resolution and Executive Director of the Senate Committee on Student Discipline
- Office for Student Conflict Resolution (OSCR): the department responsible for overseeing the university's student conduct system
- **Respondent**: a student who is alleged to have violated the *Student Code*
- Sanction, Formal: a disciplinary status imposed by the university in response to a policy violation
- Senate Committee on Student Discipline (SCSD): the Senate committee responsible for establishing student disciplinary procedures, issuing sanctioning guidance to all hearing bodies, and deciding appeals of all subcommittee decisions
- Subcommittee on Graduate Student Conduct (SGSC): the group of trained faculty, staff, and graduate students responsible for deciding graduate student cases that could result in suspension or dismissal (and that do not include allegations of sexual misconduct)
- Subcommittee on Organizational Conduct (SOC): the group of trained faculty, staff, and students responsible for deciding student organization cases that could result in revocation of registered status
- Subcommittee on Sexual Misconduct (SSM): the group of trained faculty, staff, and students responsible for deciding (1) all student cases that include allegations of Title IX sexual harassment, and (2) all other student cases that include allegations of sexual misconduct that could result in suspension or dismissal
- Subcommittee on Undergraduate Student Conduct (SUSC): the group of trained faculty, staff, and undergraduate students responsible for deciding undergraduate student cases that could result in suspension or dismissal (and that do not include allegations of sexual misconduct)

### ALL CASES\*

ADJUDICATED CASES BY HEARING BODY		
HEARING BODY	<b># OF CASES</b>	% OF TOTAL (=1097)
Case Coordinator	1000	91.2%
Subcommittee on Undergraduate Student Conduct	57	5.2%
Subcommittee on Graduate Student Conduct	4	0.4%
Subcommittee on Sexual Misconduct	31	2.8%
Subcommittee on Organizational Conduct	4	0.4%
Senate Committee on Student Discipline (Academic Integrity)	1	0.1%

ALL OUTCOMES		
FORMAL SANCTION/OUTCOME	# ISSUED	% OF TOTAL (=1097)
Reprimand	312	28.4%
Censure	153	13.9%
Conduct Probation	194	17.7%
Dismissal Held in Abeyance	20	1.8%
Suspension	0	0.0%
Dismissal	41	3.7%
Charges Dropped	116	10.6%
Not in Violation	253	23.1%
Reprimand (Organization)	0	0.0%
Censure (Organization)	1	0.1%
Conduct Probation (Organization)	1	0.1%
Revocation (Organization)	2	0.2%
Revocation Held in Abeyance (Organization)	1	0.1%
Charges Dropped (Organization)	2	0.2%
Not in Violation (Organization)	1	0.1%

INFORMAL RESOLUTIONS		
INFORMAL RESOLUTION TYPE	# OF CASES	% OF TOTAL (=60)
Alternative Conflict Resolution	2	3.3%
Informal Resolution Meeting	58	96.7%

<sup>\*</sup> The College of Law, the College of Veterinary Medicine, and the Carle Illinois College of Medicine address student disciplinary matters that do not include allegations of sexual misconduct through their own processes (under the authority of the Senate Committee on Student Discipline) and keep their own records. Information on any such disciplinary outcomes is provided in a later section.

### CASE COORDINATOR DECISIONS

CASE COORDINATOR DECISIONS (FINAL OUTCOMES)		
FORMAL SANCTION/OUTCOME	<b># ISSUED</b>	% OF TOTAL (=1000)
Reprimand	312	31.2%
Censure	152	15.2%
Conduct Probation	181	18.1%
Dismissal Held in Abeyance <sup>†</sup>	1	0.1%
Charges Dropped	111	11.1%
Not in Violation	239	23.9%
Reprimand (Organization)	0	0.0%
Censure (Organization)	1	0.1%
Conduct Probation (Organization)	0	0.0%
Charges Dropped (Organization)	2	0.2%
Not in Violation (Organization)	1	0.1%

APPEALS OF CC DECISIONS (HEARD BY THE DIRECTOR)		
APPEAL OUTCOME	NUMBER	% OF TOTAL (=19)
Original Decision Upheld	12	63.2%
Original Decision Overturned	3	15.8%
Original Decision Modified	3	15.8%

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup> Although case coordinators cannot typically issue a sanction of Dismissal Held in Abeyance, they can impose a Dismissal for a student who is already on Dismissal Held in Abeyance and who does not complete their other sanctions on time. In this case, a case coordinator imposed a Dismissal for this reason, but that sanction was ultimately modified back to Dismissal Held in Abeyance on appeal.

# SUBCOMMITTEES ON STUDENT CONDUCT


SUBCOMMITTEE ON UNDERGRADUATE STUDENT CONDUCT DECISIONS (FINAL OUTCOMES)			
FORMAL SANCTION/OUTCOME	# ISSUED	% OF TOTAL (=57)	
Censure	1	1.8%	
Conduct Probation	9	15.8%	
Dismissal Held in Abeyance	17	29.8%	
Dismissal	27	47.4%	
Charges Dropped	0	0.0%	
Not in Violation	3	5.3%	

APPEALS OF SUSC DECISIONS (HEARD BY SCSD)		
APPEAL OUTCOME	NUMBER	% OF TOTAL (=17)
Original Decision Upheld	14	82.4%
Original Decision Overturned	0	0.0%
Original Decision Modified	3	17.6%

SUBCOMMITTEE ON GRADUATE STUDENT CONDUCT DECISIONS (FINAL OUTCOMES)				
FORMAL SANCTION/OUTCOME# ISSUED% OF TOTAL (=4)				
Dismissal	4	100.0%		
APPEALS OF SGSC DECISIONS (HEARD BY SCSD)				
APPEALS OF SGSC DECISIONS (HEARD BY SCSD)				
APPEALS OF SGSC DECISIONS (HEARD BY SCSD) APPEAL OUTCOME	NUMBER	% OF TOTAL (=3)		
	NUMBER 3	% OF TOTAL (=3) 100.0%		
APPEAL OUTCOME				

### SEXUAL MISCONDUCT CASES

The Subcommittee on Sexual Misconduct issued 31 decisions during this reporting period. Case Coordinators issued decisions in another 8 sexual misconduct cases. The statistics below describe these decisions and any subsequent appeals.



APPEALS OF SSM DECISIONS (HEARD BY SCSD)				
APPEALED BY	UPHELD	OVERTURNED	MODIFIED	TOTAL
Complainant	2	0	0	2
Respondent	6	0	1	7

APPEALS OF CC DECISIONS IN SEXUAL MISCONDUCT CASES (HEARD BY THE DIRECTOR)				
APPEALED BY	UPHELD	OVERTURNED	MODIFIED	TOTAL
Complainant	1	0	0	1
Respondent	0	0	0	0

SEXUAL MISCONDUCT ALLEGATION FREQUENCY		
ALLEGATED MISCONDUCT	NUMBER	% OF TOTAL CASES (=39) <sup>‡</sup>
Sexual Assault	21	53.8%
Sexual Harassment	7	17.9%
Title IX Sexual Harassment	15	38.5%
Sexual Exploitation	1	2.6%
Stalking	9	23.1%
Dating Violence	2	5.1%
Domestic Violence	10	25.6%
Sexual Violence	4	10.3%

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>+</sup> Some cases include allegations of more than one type of sexual misconduct. Thus, the percentages here add up to more than 100.

### **COVID-RELATED CASES**

COVID-RELATED ALLEGATION FREQUENCY	
ALLEGED MISCONDUCT	NUMBER
Entering a campus building without access and/or noncompliance with WSA instructions	11
Mask/Face Covering Noncompliance	437
Misuse of Safer Illinois App or COVID-19 Boarding Pass	2
Noncompliance with C-UPHD	3
Noncompliance with Student Location Requirement	16
Quarantine/Isolation Noncompliance	28
Testing Noncompliance	808

#### COVID-RELATED CASE OUTCOMES<sup>§</sup>

FORMAL SANCTION/OUTCOME	# ISSUED	% OF TOTAL (=1206)
Reprimand	44	3.6%
Censure	50	4.1%
Conduct Probation	25	2.1%
Dismissal Held in Abeyance	6	0.5%
Dismissal	5	0.4%
Charges Dropped	29	2.4%
Not in Violation	104	8.6%
Charges Dropped (Organization)	1	0.1%
Written Warning Only	942	78.1%

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>§</sup> Except for the written warnings, these numbers are included in the case outcome totals for the reporting period.

# STUDENT ORGANIZATION CASES

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ORGANIZATIONAL CONDUCT DECISIONS (FINAL OUTCOMES)		
FORMAL SANCTION/OUTCOME	<b># ISSUED</b>	% OF TOTAL (=4)
Conduct Probation	1	25.0%
Revocation Held in Abeyance	1	25.0%
Revocation	2	50.0%

APPEALS OF SOC DECISIONS (HEARD BY SCSD)		
APPEAL OUTCOME	NUMBER	% OF TOTAL (=3)
Original Decision Upheld	3	100.0%
Original Decision Overturned	0	0.0%
Original Decision Modified	0	0.0%

CASE COORDINATOR DECISIONS IN STUDENT ORGANIZATION CASES (FINAL OUTCOMES)			
FORMAL SANCTION/OUTCOME# ISSUED% OF TOTAL (=4)			
Censure	1	25.0%	
Charges Dropped	2	50.0%	
Not in Violation	1	25.0%	

APPEALS OF CC DECISIONS IN STUDENT ORGANIZATION CASES (HEARD BY THE DIRECTOR)		
APPEAL OUTCOME	NUMBER	% OF TOTAL (=1)
Original Decision Upheld	0	0.0%
Original Decision Overturned	1	100.0%
Original Decision Modified	0	0.0%

VIOLATIONS FOR WHICH STUDENT ORGANIZATIONS WERE FOUND RESPONSIBLE		
VIOLATION	BRIEF DESCRIPTION	TOTAL
302.a.1	Violence-bodily harm	1
302.a.3	Reckless disregard for health/safety	3
302.d	Hazing	2
302.g	Providing false information to, or failing to comply with the reasonable directions of, university officials	1
302.o.2	Providing false information to a disciplinary body	1
302.0.3	Deliberate disruption or interference with an investigation or disciplinary proceeding	1
302.0.6	Harassment/intimidation of participants in a disciplinary proceeding	1
307.a.1	Underage possession or consumption of alcohol	1
307.a.2	Providing alcohol to underage persons	3

# ALL STUDENT VIOLATIONS

VIOLATIONS	FOR WHICH STUDENTS WERE FOUND RESPONSIBLE	
VIOLATION	BRIEF DESCRIPTION	TOTAL
302.a.1	Violence-bodily harm	25
302.a.2	Violence-inappropriate contact	42
302.a.3	Reckless disregard for health/safety	55
302.a.4	Threatening behavior	21
302.b.1	Sexual assault	7
302.b.2	Sexual harassment	2
302.b.3	Sexual exploitation	0
302.b.4	Dating violence	1
302.b.5	Domestic violence	8
302.b.7	Title IX sexual harassment	6
302.b.8	Sexual violence	1
302.c	Stalking	5
302.e.1	Restricting freedom of movement	4
302.e.2	Interfering with the performance of institutional duties	2
302.f	Disruptive behavior	2
302.g	Providing false information to, or failing to comply with the reasonable directions of, university officials	196
302.h	Providing false information to, or failing to comply with the reasonable directions of, law enforcement	10
302.i	Harassment	1
302.j	Theft	30
302.k	Property damage	25
302.l	Indecent exposure	6
302.m	Trespassing	39
302.n.5	Accessing child pornography	1
302.o.1	Failing to comply with a university disciplinary body	1
302.o.2	Providing false information to a disciplinary body	26
302.0.7	Sanction noncompliance	8
302.p	Making, attempting to make, or distributing a recording of someone in a private location without their consent	1
302.q	Violation of other published university policies	63
302.r	Inciting, aiding, or encouraging another to violate policy	3
302.s	Violation of local, state, or federal law	8
302.t	Sale or distribution of lecture notes or course materials	1
304.b	Misuse of university-issued ID cards	10
305.d	Possession or use of illegal drugs or drug paraphernalia	66
305.e	Manufacture or distribution of illegal drugs	2
307.a.1	Underage possession or consumption of alcohol	214
307.a.2	Providing alcohol to underage persons	14

VIOLATION	BRIEF DESCRIPTION	TOTAL
307.d	Misuse of government-issued identification	1
<b>307.</b> g	Driving under the influence	4
<b>309.</b> a	Possession of weapons on university property	2
<b>310.</b> a	Misuse of fire protection equipment	6
310.d	Tampering with security systems	25
310.e	Enabling unauthorized access to campus facilities	4
<b>402.</b> a	Cheating (by outside student)	3
402.d	Facilitating Infractions of Academic Integrity (by outside student)	3
406	Repeated violation of the academic integrity policy	91

# EDUCATIONAL SANCTIONS

SANCTION	TOTAL
Alcohol and Other Drug Program Referral	52
Alcohol and Other Drug Assessment	17
Challenging Alcohol Attitudes Positively (CAAP) Workshop	31
Marijuana Information Class (MIC)	4
Alcohol Screening Audit	11
Anger Management or Violence Prevention Program	24
Bulletin Board	2
Community Service	53
Ethics in Action Workshop	60
Follow-Up Meeting	3
Interview	3
Meeting with a Licensed Professional Counselor	27
Policy Review	56
Probationary Review Meetings	2
Reflective Writing Assignment	421
Research Project	68
Restitution	15
Restorative Justice	4
SMART Goals Project	15
Substance Use Evaluation (not AODP)	9
Other Educational Projects	51
Requirements Specific to Dismissed Students	84
Academic and/or Employment History	40
Drug Testing	2
Petition Letter	42
Educational Sanctions Specific to RSOs	13
Probationary Review	1
Other RSO-Specific Educational Project	12

## **BEHAVIORAL RESTRICTIONS**

BEHAVIORAL RESTRICTIONS ISSUED	
RESTRICTION	TOTAL
No Contact Directive	38
Trespass from University Property	40
Trespass from University Housing Facility	2
Trespass from Other University Facility	0

# **RESPONDENT DEMOGRAPHIC INFORMATION**\*\*

COLLEGE OF RESPONDENTS (AT TIME OF INCIDENT)		
COLLEGE	NUMBER	% OF TOTAL (=1152)
Agricultural, Consumer, and Environmental Sciences, College of	70	6.1%
Applied Health Sciences, College of	62	5.4%
Carle Illinois College of Medicine	2	0.2%
Division of General Studies	126	10.9%
Education, College of	19	1.6%
Fine and Applied Arts, College of	40	3.5%
Gies College of Business	133	11.5%
Grainger College of Engineering	303	26.3%
Information Sciences, School of	22	1.9%
Labor and Employment Relations, School of	1	0.1%
Law, College of	2	0.2%
Liberal Arts and Sciences, College of	341	29.6%
Media, College of	22	1.9%
Social Work, School of	6	0.5%
Veterinary Medicine, College of	2	0.2%
Not Applicable	1	0.1%

GENDER OF RESPONDENTS		
GENDER	NUMBER	% OF TOTAL (=1152)
Female	355	30.8%
Male	795	69.0%
Not Available	2	0.2%

ETHNICITY OF RESPONDENTS		
ETHNICITY	NUMBER	% OF TOTAL (=1152)
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	0.2%
Asian	241	20.9%
Black or African American	146	12.7%
Hispanic	144	12.5%
International	204	17.7%
Multi-Race	44	3.8%
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	1	0.1%
White	355	30.8%
Unknown	14	1.2%

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>\*\*</sup> The information in this section describes those who were reported for possible violations of the Student Code (by faculty, staff, other students, law enforcement, and members of the public). Additionally, the individuals described are not unique, so a student who was reported for two distinct incidents, for example, is counted twice.

CLASSIFICATION OF RESPONDENTS (AT TIME OF INCIDENT)		
CLASSIFICATION	NUMBER	% OF TOTAL (=1152)
Freshman	464	40.3%
Sophomore	282	24.5%
Junior	173	15.0%
Senior	177	15.4%
Graduate Student	52	4.5%
Professional Student	3	0.3%
Unknown or Not Applicable	1	0.1%

# PETITIONS FOR READMISSION

PETITIONS FOR READMISSION HEARD BY THE SUSC		
OUTCOME	NUMBER	% OF TOTAL (=16)
Petition Approved	14	87.5%
Petition Denied	2	12.5%

PETITIONS FOR READMISSION HEARD BY THE SSM		
OUTCOME	NUMBER	% OF TOTAL (=5)
Petition Approved	1	20.0%
Petition Denied	4	80.0%

# CIMED, LAW, AND VET MED CASES

DISCIPLINARY OUTCOMES MANAGED BY THE CARLE ILLINOIS COLLEGE OF MEDICINE		
OUTCOME	NUMBER	% OF TOTAL (=0)
N/A	0	

DISCIPLINARY OUTCOMES MANAGED BY THE COLLEGE OF LAW		
OUTCOME	NUMBER	% OF TOTAL (=10)
Written Reprimand	9	90.0%
Conduct Probation	1	10.0%

DISCIPLINARY OUTCOMES MANAGED BY THE COLLEGE OF VETERINARY MEDICINE		
OUTCOME	NUMBER	% OF TOTAL (=0)
N/A	0	